



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/731,678	12/06/2000	Sung-Hee Do	A0734/7001 (EJR)	9300

  

7590	06/04/2007
------	------------

Edward J. Russavage  
Wolf, Greenfield & Sacks, P.C.  
600 Atlantic Avenue  
Boston, MA 02210

EXAMINER	
VU, TUAN A	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2193	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/04/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/731,678	DO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Tuan A. Vu	2193	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan A. Vu. (3)\_\_\_\_\_

(2) Scott Gerwin. (4)\_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 25 May 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The object of the interview was to find out about the legal basis behind which the Examiner had objected to the declaration under CFR 1.48b by Applicant. The Examiner explained that questions about removed claims were raised for lack of explanation by Applicant in order for the CFR declaration to truly meet the basic requirement of this MPEF rule, i.e. inventorship change founded on any change of claims (§ 1.48b), in spite of the fact that in surface the declaration appears to be proper. The Examiner also helped clarify Applicant's inquiries concerning how the claim can change to overcome a statutory rejection. Finally suggested was that the Applicant files a AF request of consideration, explaining or identifying specifics to the change of subject matter in relevance to the removal of some inventor; and based upon which, the Examiner indicated that the Office would reconsider the case to the effect of either hopefully put the claims in condition for allowance; or reprosecuting the claim with proper merits given to the above declaration; but the above actions would be pending on a written response or AF request for consideration.